Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,077	NAKAZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nguyen T. Vo	2685	
All Participants:	ants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Nguyen T. Vo</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>H. J. Staas</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 January 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
2, 3, 5	1		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Discussed minor errors in Abstract, claims 2, 3 and 5 in order to place the file in condition for allowance. A preliminary amendment filed on 2/2/2006 incorporates the changes that correct the errors as indicated during the telephone interview.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	